

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF YORKTOWN SEWAGE)
TREATMENT FACILITIES, INC., FOR AN)
ADJUSTMENT OF RATES PURSUANT TO THE) CASE NO. 8759
ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURE FOR SMALL)
UTILITIES)

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Yorktown Sewage Treatment Facilities, Inc., ("Yorktown") shall file an original and seven copies of the following information with the Commission with a copy to all parties of record by April 6, 1983. If neither the requested information nor a motion for an extension of time is filed by the stated date, the case may be dismissed.

1. Provide the following information concerning the billing of customers:

- a. Is there a bill issued to each customer unit (approximately 684 to 700 units), or to the 230 service addresses where the water meters are located?
- b. Are the number of customers listed in each Customer Class on page 3 of the application for rate adjustment, true and accurate? If not, make the necessary changes and resubmit.
- c. What is the volume of water used by the branch bank and by the church for the test year? This information may be obtained from the Louisville Water Company.
- d. What percentage of gross revenues has been lost during the test period due to non-payment by customers?

2. There is no evidence in the record with reference to sludge hauling during the test period. Were there some unpaid bills for sludge hauling during 1981? If so, provide a copy of the invoices. Provide the same information for the year 1982.

3. In response to the Commission's Order dated February 24, 1983, Yorktown furnished letters from the Louisville Water Company, and the Louisville Gas and Electric Company setting out the test period water and electric expense. The Commission has made the following comparison:

	<u>Letter</u>	<u>Annual Report</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Water Expense	\$2,501	\$ 593	\$1,908
Electric Expense	\$8,289	\$8,002	\$ 287

Please explain why the above-mentioned differences were not included in test year expenses. Were the monthly water bills deducted by the Louisville Water Company before they made the monthly remittance to Yorktown?

4. Property taxes of \$2,614 have been included in test year expenses. Does this figure represent property taxes paid for more than the test year? Provide a copy of the tax bills.

5. Has Yorktown incurred any chemical expense during the test period which is not included as a part of test year expenses? If so, provide copies of the invoices.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 21st day of March, 1983.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:

Secretary